



Compilers

Symbol Tables

- Much of semantic analysis can be expressed as a recursive descent of an AST
 - *Before*: Process an AST node n
 - *Recurse*: Process the children of n
 - *After*: Finish processing the AST node n
- When performing semantic analysis on a portion of the the AST, we need to know which identifiers are defined

- Example: the scope of **let** bindings is one subtree of the AST:

let $x: \text{Int} \leftarrow 0$ in e

- x is defined in subtree e

- Recall: `let x: Int \leftarrow 0 in e`
- Idea:
 - *Before* processing `e`, add definition of `x` to current definitions, overriding any other definition of `x`
 - *Recurse*
 - *After* processing `e`, remove definition of `x` and restore old definition of `x`
- A *symbol table* is a data structure that tracks the current bindings of identifiers

- For a simple symbol table we can use a stack
- Operations
 - `add_symbol(x)` push `x` and associated info, such as `x`'s type, on the stack
 - `find_symbol(x)` search stack, starting from top, for `x`. Return first `x` found or NULL if none found
 - `remove_symbol()` pop the stack

- The simple symbol table works for **let**
 - Symbols added one at a time
 - Declarations are perfectly nested

- `enter_scope()` start a new nested scope
- `find_symbol(x)` finds current `x` (or null)
- `add_symbol(x)` add a symbol `x` to the table
- `check_scope(x)` true if `x` defined in current scope
- `exit_scope()` exit current scope

A symbol table manager is supplied with the project.

- Class names can be used before being defined
- We can't check class names
 - using a symbol table
 - or even in one pass
- Solution
 - Pass 1: Gather all class names
 - Pass 2: Do the checking
- Semantic analysis requires multiple passes
 - Probably more than two