

# Compilers

Static vs. Dynamic Typing

Static type systems detect common errors

- But some correct programs are disallowed
  - Some argue for dynamic type checking instead
  - Others want more expressive static type checking

• But more expressive type systems are more complex

- The <u>dynamic type</u> of an object is the class C that is used in the "new C" expression that created it
  - A run-time notion
  - Even languages that are not statically typed have the notion of dynamic type

- The <u>static type</u> of an expression captures all dynamic types the expression could have
  - A compile-time notion

 Soundness theorem: for all expressions E dynamic\_type(E) = static\_type(E)

In all executions, *E* evaluates to values of the type inferred by the compiler.

```
class A { ... }
class B inherits A {...}
class Main {
    x:A ← new A;
    ...
    x ← new B;
    ...
}
```

Choose the static/dynamic type pairs that are correct. For dynamic type, assume execution

Static Type

**Animal** 

Animal

Pet

has halted at line 14.

Var

W

Χ

У

## Static vs. Dynamic

- class Animal { ... } class Pet inherits Animal { ... }
- class Cat inherits Pet { ... } 3 class Dog inherits Pet { ... }
  - class Lion inherits Animal { ... }
  - class Main { w:Animal <- new Animal;
- x:Animal <- new Pet; y:Animal <- new Pet; 10
- z:Pet <- new Pet; 11 w <- new Lion;
- y <- new Dog; 12 13 z <- new Cat;

6

9

- - Dog

Lion

Pet

Dynamic Type

**→** 14 Pet Pet 15

#### Soundness theorem for the Cool type system:

```
\forall E. dynamic_type(E) \leq static_type(E)
```

- All operations that can be used on an object of type C can also be used on an object of type C' ≤ C
  - Such as fetching the value of an attribute
  - Or invoking a method on the object
- Subclasses only add attributes or methods
- Methods can be redefined but with same type!