

Compilers

Local Optimization

The simplest form of optimization

- Optimize one basic block
 - No need to analyze the whole procedure body

Some statements can be deleted

$$x := x + 0$$

 $x := x * 1$

Some statements can be simplified

```
x := x * 0 \Rightarrow x := 0

y := y ** 2 \Rightarrow y := y * y

x := x * 8 \Rightarrow x := x << 3

x := x * 15 \Rightarrow t := x << 4; <math>x := t - x
```

(on some machines << is faster than *; but not on all!)

- Operations on constants can be computed at compile time
 - If there is a statement $x := y \circ p z$
 - And y and z are constants
 - Then y op z can be computed at compile time

- Example: $x := 2 + 2 \implies x := 4$
- Example: if 2 < 0 jump L can be deleted

Constant folding can be dangerous.

- Eliminate unreachable basic blocks:
 - Code that is unreachable from the initial block
 - E.g., basic blocks that are not the target of any jump or "fall through" from a conditional

- Removing unreachable code makes the program smaller
 - And sometimes also faster
 - Due to memory cache effects
 - Increased spatial locality

Why would unreachable basic blocks occur?

 Some optimizations are simplified if each register occurs only once on the left-hand side of an assignment

• Rewrite intermediate code in *single assignment* form

```
x := z + y b := z + y

a := x \Rightarrow a := b

x := 2 * x x := 2 * b

(b is a fresh register)
```

More complicated in general, due to loops

- If
 - Basic block is in single assignment form
 - A definition x := is the first use of x in a block
- Then
 - When two assignments have the same rhs, they compute the same value
- Example:

```
x := y + z \Rightarrow x := y + z

w := y + z \Rightarrow w := x

(the values of x, y, and z do not change in the ... code)
```

- If w := x appears in a block, replace subsequent uses of w with uses of x
 - Assumes single assignment form

Example:

```
b := z + y

a := b

x := 2 * a

b := z + y

a := b

x := 2 * b
```

- Only useful for enabling other optimizations
 - Constant folding
 - Dead code elimination

• Example:

$$a := 5$$
 $x := 2 * a \Rightarrow x := 10$
 $y := x + 6$
 $t := x * y$
 $x := 5$
 $x := 5$
 $x := 10$
 $y := 16$

lf

```
w := rhs appears in a basic block
w does not appear anywhere else in the program
Then
```

the statement w := rhs is dead and can be eliminated

— <u>Dead</u> = does not contribute to the program's result

Example: (a is not used anywhere else)

```
x := z + y b := z + y b := z + y

a := x \Rightarrow a := b \Rightarrow x := 2 * b

x := 2 * a
```

Each local optimization does little by itself

- Typically optimizations interact
 - Performing one optimization enables another

- Optimizing compilers repeat optimizations until no improvement is possible
 - The optimizer can also be stopped at any point to limit compilation time

• Initial code:

• Algebraic optimization:

• Algebraic optimization:

Copy propagation:

Copy propagation:

Constant folding:

Constant folding:

Common subexpression elimination:

Common subexpression elimination:

Copy propagation:

Copy propagation:

Dead code elimination:

• Dead code elimination:

$$a := x * x$$

$$f := a + a$$

 $g := 6 * f$

This is the final form

Which of the following are valid local optimizations for the given basic block? Assume that only g and x are referenced outside of this basic block.

- Copy propagation: Line 4 becomes d := a * b.
- Common subexpression elimination: Line 5 becomes e := d.
- Dead code elimination: Line 3 is removed.
- After many rounds of valid optimizations, the entire block can be reduced to g := 5.

Local Optimization

```
1 a := 1
2 b := 3
3 c := a + x
4 d := a * 3
5 e := b * 3
6 f := a + b
7 g := e - f
```